The Examination and Automation of GPC, SPE and QuEChERS for **Pesticides in Olive Oil**

4 GILSON

Abstract

Several techniques for the separation of pesticides from edible oils are used today to investigate the amount of pesticides in these oils. GPC, SPE and QuEChERS can be used to isolate the pesticides that are found in edible oils. Each technique provides strengths for its separation of pesticides. GPC has the ability to process large amounts of sample, SPE provides disposable cartridges with numerous sorbents to provide separation of the analyte from the matrix, and QuEChERS involves uncomplicated sample cleanup of pesticides in aqueous matrices. This application investigates each of these separation techniques in separating pesticides from oil matrices (olive oil) and presents detailed information on the automation of each separation system.

GPC						
Benefits to GPC post-extraction clean-up:						
 Improve method efficiency Sample repeat reduction lowers cost Simple data interpretation Improve accuracy and linearity Lower detection limits 						
 Decrease damage to analytical instrumentation and columns Extend column life Reduce maintenance costs and downtime 						
 Amenable to automation Increase reproducibility of results Reduce operator errors Reduce test costs Reduce time requirements of lab personnel 						
 Continuous and stable process Remove interferences that cause poor analytical results Separate analytes from interferents by size Effective for both polar and non-polar analytes No alteration of isomer ratios 						

GPC Cleanup Instrumentation



Gilson 307 Pump Quad Z-215 with 4

- probes, 849 injector
- 112 UV Detector OI-Analytical column, Bio-Beads[®] S-X3 Optima column, 70 gram, 50 cm.

GPC Method

- 5 mL of diluted olive oil (50 gm/L) sample injected onto GPC
- Mobile phase: Dichloromethane 5 mL/min.
- Collect fraction from end of oil peak through the pesticide peak
- Dry down eluent
- Add 200 uL ethyl acetate Inject 1 uL sample onto GC outfitted with Rtx[®]-
- CLPesticides Column
- Starting temp 150C and ramp 3 degrees per minute until 300C is reached then hold for five minutes.





Joan Stevens, Ph.D., Mark Crawford, and Mike Halvorson, Ph.D. www.gilson.com



- Handler with solvent selection system and injection Gilson Orbital Shaker
- The instruments automate the QuEChERS method by processing the samples in
- cartridges similar to SPE. A special rack and probe are used to process each sample in the QuEChERS automated method.

Julie Kowalski, Ph.D., and Michelle Misselwitz

www.restek.com

QuEChERS Method

- 1.5 mL of olive oil sample added to 1.5 mL of Hexane. 3 mL mixture is placed in test tube and 6 mL ACN is added.
- Sample is mixed on an Orbital shaker at 720 rpm for 30 minutes
- Wait 20 minutes for sample to separate. Extract 1 mL of ACN mixture from the top layer and place in QuEChERS
- tube. Shake on Orbital shaker at 650 rpm for 2 minutes. Push through filter tube and collect eluent
- Rinse with 2 mL ACN and mix for 5 minutes
- Push through filter tube and collect eluent.
- Repeat ACN rinse and collect Dry down eluent.
- Add 200 uL of ethyl acetate
- Inject 1 uL sample onto GC outfitted with Rtx[®]-CLPesticides Column Starting temp 150C and ramp 3 degrees per minute until 300C is reached
- then hold for five minutes.

QuEChERS Chromatograms



Optimization of the QuEChERS method was evaluated. The load of 1 mL was determined by the size of the cartridge and the amount of QuEChERS sorbent and magnesium sulfate within the cartridge. Several solvent amounts and types were tested rinsing the cartridge. ACN provided the highest recovery yield and a rinse of 2 mL followed by another rinse of the same amount optimized this yield.

Pesticide Standards

- Pesticide standards for linear concentration determination were provided by Restek and diluted to 25, 50 and 100 ug/mL
- Each was injected onto the Restek Rtx[®]-CLPesticides Column for detection via DELCD.
- Linear curves were constructed for each peak.
- 100 ug/mL concentration in Hexane or Ethyl Acetate was used to perform an LLE as used in the SPE and QuEChERS methods. This
- was used to determine the recovery of the LLE within the methods.
- 100 ug/mL concentration in Oil was used to compare the LLE to the standards. Both extractions produced similar results.
- The GC peak area for each method, GPC, SPE and QuEChERS was used to determine the concentration via the pesticide standards plot.



MS Analysis

- All analyses were performed on Rtx-CLPesticide2, 30m x 0.25mm x 0.2 µm, Cat # 11323, Serial # 882698
- Standard used was Organochlorine Pesticide Mix AB # 3, Cat # 32415, Lot # AO54449
- The standard and each extract was analyzed in both scan and SIM mode.
- The TIC data obtained from the standard was used to compile the SIM table used for the SIM acquisition mode.

15

Standard SIM Table

Compound	CAS	RT	Quant	ion 1	ion 2
1. alpha-BHC	319-84-6	5.342	219	181	109
2. gamma-BHC	58-89-9	5.733	219	181	109
3. beta-BHC	319-85-7	5.808	219	181	109
4. delta-BHC	319-86-8	6.125	219	181	109
5. heptachlor	76-44-8	6.217	272	237	100
6. aldrin	309-00-2	6.558	263	293	220
7. heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	7.117	263	237	81
8. gamma-chlordane	12789-03-6	7.308	272	237	65
9. alpha-chlordane	5103-71-9	7.467	272	237	65
10. endosulfan I	959-98-8	7.542	195	207	241
11. 4,4'-DDE	72-55-9	7.600	246	318	176
12. dieldrin	60-57-1	7.850	79	263	277
13. endrin	72-20-8	8.217	263	281	81
14. 4,4'-DDD	72-54-8	8.275	235	165	199
15. endosulfan II	33213-65-9	8.475	195	207	
16. 4,4'-DDT	50-29-3	8.700	235	165	199
17. endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	8.933	67	250	345
18. endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	9.342	272	229	239
19. methoxychlor	72-43-5	9.725	227	274	
20. endrin ketone	53494-70-5	10.292	67	317	281



GPC, SPE, QuEChERS

- The GPC sample used was olive oil, 50 gm/L in dichloromethane. This was injected onto the GPC column and the fraction collected. and dried down.
- The SPE sample used was olive oil in hexane 50:50. This sample was extracted using LLE with ACN. The ACN was placed on the SPE and eluted with ACN then dried down.
- The QuEChERS sample used was olive oil in hexane 50:50. This sample was extracted using LLE with ACN. The ACN was placed on the SPE and eluted with ACN then dried down. • All dried down samples were brought up in 200 uL of hexane or
- ethyl acetate for injection on the GC.

18

Results

LLE was the determining factor in the recoveries of pesticides as utilized in the SPE and QuEChERS methods. With recoveries of 60% to 70% at the lower spectrum and an increase in recoveries of 25% when the LLE was optimized it is evident that optimization of the liquid/liquid extraction is essential to provide good recoveries.

The GPC system can be accomplished with a basic HPLC instrument or advanced via a parallel system for increased throughput, since GPC runs are usually lengthy. GPC is a well understood methodology that doesn't require method development or optimization, unlike SPE and QuEChERS.

All methods attained good recoveries. GPC attained recoveries of >95% for all the pesticide analytes. The QuEChERS and SPE methods attained a recovery of 70% to 80%. Each of these methods used organic solvents to elicit recovery, whereas the GPC column is reusable, the SPE and QuEChERS are disposable columns.

The throughput of each method varied as expected. The GPC method took approx 60 minutes to complete with about an hour dry down time since 65 mL fraction was collected. The GPC single probe system could process 1 sample per 1.5 hours, whereas the 4 probe parallel GPC system increased throughput 4 fold. SPE and QuEChERS methods both had similar processing times with LLE, sample loading, eluting, and dry down. Both the dry down and LLE were the determining factors for throughput each at 30 minutes.

The GPC method has the greatest ability for lowest detection level by using a larger amount of sample versus the SPE or QuEChERS. 5 mL of sample could be processed and dried down to 200 uL, an increase of 12.5 times in detection. The SPE would be the next lowest detectable limits. 1.5 mL of sample processed and brought up to 200 uL in hexane, an increase of 3.75 in detectable limits. The QuEChERS provided an increase of 1.25 times detection with the processing of 0.5 mL of sample dried down and brought back up to 200 uL in hexane. However, this QuEChERS method did not elute α-BHC with the rest of the pesticides. Assuming it was not retained at all the method will need to be adjusted in order to retain and extract α-BHC

Conclusion

Each of these methods could be used to attain good results for the detection of pesticides in olive oil. For laboratories with enough capital to purchase a GPC system and a high quantity of sample to process this system would provide a good return in their initial investment. For labs smaller labs that are looking for a more cost effective process of fewer samples the SPE and QuEChERS method provide the ability to process samples in a short amount of time. GPC in this method attains the lowest detectable limits at 3.3 times that of SPE and 10 times that of the QuEChERS extraction method. All methods attained levels of 25 ug/mL of each pesticide and extrapolated a possible low detectable limit of 5 ug/mL for QuEChERS. SPE attaining 1.7 ug/mL and GPC at 400 ng/mL.